

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Current Journal of Applied Science and Technology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_CJAST_84691
Title of the Manuscript:	Symbiosis of Urban Agglomeration vs. Natures Deterioration with Anthropocene; Odisha
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalcjast.com/index.php/CJAST/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Having gone through your work, I have to commend you for doing a good job. I believe the paper would be accepted as it is very well written and researched. However, I will like you to take note of the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">- The full meaning of acronyms should be stated, for example, UHI, LULC, etc.- Many sentences do not have clear relationships- Endeavour to adhere to the grammatical issues of the paper.	
Optional/General comments	<p>The work is scientifically solid and technically detailed.</p>	

Review Form 1.6

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	

Reviewer Details:

Name:	Gimba Dogara
Department, University & Country	Kaduna State College of Education, Nigeria